

Synthesis of *gem*-difluoroamines from allylic or halogenoamines

Axelle Moine, Sébastien Thibaudeau, Agnès Martin, Marie-Paule Jouannetaud and Jean-Claude Jacquesy*

Laboratoire Synthèse et Réactivité des Substances Naturelles (UMR CNRS 6514), Faculté des Sciences, 40, Avenue du Recteur Pineau, 86022 Poitiers, France

Received 1 March 2002; accepted 14 March 2002

Abstract—In HF–SbF₅, in the presence of *N*-bromosuccinimide, allylic or halogenoamines yield *gem*-difluoroamines, through bromofluorointermediates. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction of fluorine atom(s) into a molecule can modify its physical and chemical properties and its original biological activity.^{1–3}

Synthesis of *gem*-difluoro derivatives has been extensively studied. Direct *gem*-difluorination can be carried out using fluorinating agents, sources of either nucle-ophilic or electrophilic fluorine.^{4,5}

We have previously reported a novel oxidative gemdifluorination carried out on *Vinca* alkaloids in superacid HF–SbF₅, in the presence of either *N*-bromosuccinimide (NBS) or chloromethanes (CHCl₃ or CCl₄), fluorination occurring selectively at the cleavamine moiety.⁶ We would like to report the difluorination of acyclic allylic or halogenated amines under similar conditions, confirming the generality of this new reaction.

A typical experimental procedure is as follows. To a mixture of HF-SbF₅ (7:1 molar ratio, 20 mL) at 0°C in a Teflon[®] flask was slowly added at first NBS (320 mg, 18 mmol) then, after 2 min the amine 1 (Fig. 1) (342 mg, 6 mmol). The mixture was magnetically stirred at the same temperature for 10 min then neutralized with water/ice (60 mL) and sodium carbonate (40 g). The aqueous phase is then treated with acetic anhydride (4 mL) 3 h, then with an additional 2 mL for 24 h. The mixture was then extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3×30 mL). The combined organic layers were finally dried and evaporated. Flash chromatography over basic alumine provided acetylated compound 9 (378 mg, 46%). A similar procedure was carried out with amines 2, 3, 5–7, but starting from the less volatile amines 4 and 10, products can be separated without acylation. The results reported in Table 1 deserve several comments:



Figure 1.

0040-4039/02/\$ - see front matter @ 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0040-4039(02)00643-3

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: 33 (0)5 49 45 37 02; fax: 33 (0)5 49 45 35 01; e-mail: marie.paule.jouannetaud@univ-poitiers.fr

mixtures.

 Table 1. gem-Difluorination of allylic or halogenated amines

Entry	Compound	Time (min)	Temperature (°C)	Products (% yield)
1	1	2	-35	8 ^b (41)
2	1	10	0	9 ^b (46)
3	2	1	-20	Complex
				mixture
4	3	1	-20	Complex
5	4	2	-35	10 (50)
6	4	5	0	11 (55)
7	10 ^a	3	0	11 (70)
8	5	1	-30	(8 + 9) ^b (39)
				(2/1)
9	5	10	-20	9 ^b (43)
10	6	1	0	(8 + 9) ^b (26)
				(2/1)
11	6	10	0	9 ^b (33)
12	7	20	20	8 + 9 ^b (34) (51/3.5)

^a Reaction carried out without NBS.

^b Separated after acylation of the reaction mixture using acetic anhydride.

- Bromofluoroderivatives 8 and 10, obtained at low temperature and short reaction time from 1, 5–7 and 4, respectively, are intermediates in the *gem*-difluorination, leading to the corresponding compounds 9 and 11 obtained at a higher temperature. This is confirmed by reaction of compound 10 without NBS at 0°C (entry 7).

– In HF–SbF₅–NBS difluoroamine **9** is obtained at -20° C from bromoamine **5**, at 0°C from chloroamine **6** and only at ambient temperature from the fluoro analog **7**, implying reactivity order **5**>**6**>**7**. Furthermore, compound **9** is also obtained (44% yield) at -20° C in HF–SbF₅ without using NBS from bromoamine **5**, implying that the substrate is the source of electrophilic bromine 'Br⁺' like NBS in the reac-



Scheme 1.

tion conditions.^{7,8} The chloro and fluoro analogs **6** and **7** are unreactive in $HF-SbF_5$ without NBS. – Butenylamines **2** and **3** lead only to complex

Yields are for isolated products after chromatography. Products gave satisfactory spectral data (MS, ¹H, ¹³C NMR) and the expected analytical (HRMS) results.¹²

Taking into account these data, the postulated mechanism is outlined in Schemes 1 and 2. In HF–SbF₅, amines are *N*-protonated. For example, starting from amine 1, the resulting ion **A** can react with electrophilic bromine to give cyclic bromonium ion **B** which is trapped by a complex fluoride ion $(SbF_6^-, Sb_2F_{11}^-...)$ to **C**, precursor of compound **8**. Though bridged bromonium ions are more stable than α -bromonium ions,⁹ ion **B** is destabilized by the protonated amino groups, favoring formation ion **D** which might react with a fluoride ion to give ion **E**.¹⁰ This ion would lead irreversibly to ion **F** then to ion **G**, precursor of compound **9**. The intermediate α -fluoronium ion **F** is stabilized by back donation of the unbound electron pair into the vacant **p** orbital of the carbocationic carbon atom.¹¹

Such a mechanism might also account for the formation of compounds **10** and **11** from amine **4**.

Starting from halogenated amines 5–7, two mechanisms can be considered (Scheme 2).

The first one (reaction pathway a) implies elimination of HX from ion H, obtained after protonation (or complexation with SbF_5) of the halogen atom, to yield the ion A which can react as previously described. This might account for the relative reactivity of compounds 5, 6 and 7, the larger halogen atoms accommodating more protonation then the smaller ones.

The alternative mechanism (reaction pathway b) involves hydride abstraction by electrophilic bromine through a carbonium ion. Starting from amine 5, loss of HBr from carbonium ion I yields ion D, in equilibrium with ion B, precursors of compounds 9 and 8, respectively.

Such a mechanism, starting from amine **6** would involve an α -chloronium **K** accounting for the formation of difluoroamine **9**, but not of the bromofluoro amine **8** (instead formation of chloroamine **12** would be expected). It has been shown that α -chloronium ions are stabilized by chlorine atom which becomes both a π and σ donor,¹¹ and a tertiary α -chloronium ion has been postulated in the fluorination of alkaloids.⁶ Such a mechanism can also be ruled out with the fluoroamine **7**, intervening of α -fluoronium would lead only to difluoroamine **9**. Finally, starting from amines **5**, **6** and **7** the most likely mechanism implies elimination of HX, but a hydride abstraction from protonated amines **5** and **6** might also be operative.

Our results confirm that this *gem*-difluorination initially reported on alkaloids is a general process. Extension of



Scheme 2.

this novel reaction to other polyfunctional bioactive products will be reported in a near future.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank CNRS for financial support.

References

- 1. Banks, R. E.; Smart, B. E.; Tatlow, J. C. *Organofluorine Chemistry: Principles and Commercial Applications*; Plenum Press: New York, 1994.
- Filler, R.; Kobayashi, Y.; Yagupolskii, L. M. Organofluorine Compounds in Medicinal Chemistry and Biomedical Applications; Elsevier Science: Amsterdam, 1993.
- 3. Smart, M. E. J. Fluorine Chem. 2001, 109, 3-11.
- Olah, G. A.; Chambers, R. D.; Prakash, G. K. S. Synthetic Fluorine Chemistry; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1992.
- 5. Tozer, M. J.; Herpin, T. F. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 8619-8683.
- Fahy, J.; Duflos, A.; Ribet, J. P.; Jacquesy, J. C.; Berrier, C.; Jouannetaud, M. P.; Zunino, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 8576–8577.
- Berrier, C.; Jacquesy, J. C.; Jouannetaud, M. P.; Vidal, Y. *Tetrahedron* 1990, 46, 819–826; 826–832.

- Berrier, C.; Jacquesy, J. C.; Jouannetaud, M. P.; Lafitte, C.; Vidal, Y.; Zunino, F.; Fahy, J.; Duflos, A. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 13761–13770.
- Hamiton, T. P.; Schaefer, H. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8260–8265.
- Reynolds, C. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 8676– 8682.
- Christe, K. O.; Zhang, X.; Ban, R.; Hegge, J.; Olah, G. A.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Sheety, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 481–487.
- 12. Selected spectral data for: Compound 8 after acylation: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.97 (s, <u>CH</u>₃CO), 3.52 (dd, $J_{H-F}=20.0$ Hz, $J_{\rm H-H} = 6.0$ Hz, 2H, CH₂N, H-1), 3.55 (dd, $J_{\rm H-F} = 17.6$ Hz, $J_{H-H} = 5.6$ Hz, CH₂, CH₂Br, H-3), 4.64 (dq⁵, $J_{\rm H-F} = 47.6$ Hz, $J_{\rm H-H} = 5.5$ Hz, CH, CHF, H-2); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 23.2 (s, <u>CH</u>₃CO), 30.4 (d, J = 26.6 Hz, CH₂, C-3), 44.1 (d, J = 22.3 Hz, CH₂, C-1), 93.2 (d, J=173.4 Hz, CH, C-2), 170.8 (s, C=O); GC-EIMS m/z: 118 (M⁺-Br); HR-MS: calcd for C₅H₁₀NOF (M+1-Br)+: 119.07464, obsd: 119.0753. Compound 9 after acylation: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): & 1.98 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 1.98-2.15 (massif, 2H, CH₂, H-2), 3.45 (q⁴, J=6.4 Hz, 2H, H-1), 5,92 (tt, $J_{\rm H-F} = 56.1$ Hz, 1H, $J_{\rm H-H} = 4.1$ Hz, 1H, CHF₂, H-3); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 23.1 (s, <u>CH</u>₃CO), 33.2 (t, J=6.5 Hz, CH₂N, C-1), 33.9 (t, J=20.5 Hz, CH₂, C-2), 116.3 (t, J=238.0 Hz, CHF₂, C-3), 170.6

(s, C=O); GC–EIMS m/z: 137 (M⁺); HR-MS: calcd for (C₅H₉NOF₂) 137.06522, obsd: 137.0653

Compound **10**: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 3.02 (dd, $J_{H-F}=20.0$ Hz, $J_{H-H}=6.0$ Hz, 2H, CH₂N, H-1), 3.56 (dd, $J_{H-F}=19.0$ Hz, $J_{H-H}=5.7$ Hz, 2H, CH₂Br, H-3), 4.76 (dq⁵, $J_{H-F}=47.0$ Hz, $J_{H-H}=5.0$ Hz, 1H, CHF, H-2); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 30.9 (d, J=23.4 Hz, CH₂, C-3), 51.2 (d, J=20.9 Hz, CH₂, C-1), 91.5 (d, J=174.2 Hz, CH, H-2); EIMS m/z: 293(5), 295(10), 297(4) (M⁺); HR-FABMS: calcd for (C₆H₁₂N(79)Br₂F₂) 293.93045, obsd: 293.9303. Compound **11**: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.02 (ttd, $J_{\text{H}-\text{F}}$ =17.4 Hz, $J_{\text{H}2-\text{H}1}$ =6.8 Hz, $J_{\text{H}2-\text{H}3}$ =2.1 Hz, 2H, CH₂, H-2), 2.80 (t, J=6.8 Hz, 2H, CH₂N, H-1), 5.94 (tt, $J_{\text{H}-\text{F}}$ =56.7 Hz, $J_{\text{H}-\text{H}}$ =4.4 Hz, 1H, CHF₂, H-3); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 34.4 (t, J=20.5 Hz, CH₂, C-2), 42.9 (t, J=6.2 Hz, CH₂N, C-1), 116.5 (t, J=237.0 Hz, CHF₂, C-3); HR-FABMS: calcd for (C₆H₁₂NF₄) 174.09059, obsd: 174.0909.